Cynthia and Kelley,

Based on the response from the R2 Lab dated 2/21/12, the data included in Files 1202028, 1201033 P-1201028 PARTIAL 01 26 2012 1353.pdf, 120137, 1201040 P-1201028 PARTIAL 01 30 2012 1505.pdf and included in the Verification/Completeness Check issued on 2/15/12 (document SERAS-001-DSR-021512 Dimock 1) requires no additional qualification. The response was acceptable.

Ex. 4 - CBI

From: John Bourbon [mailto:Bourbon.John@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 1:47 PM

To: Cynthia Caporale; Ex. 4 - CBI Kelley Chase

Cc: Ex. 4 - CBI Sella Burchette; Jim Ferretti

Subject: EXTERNAL: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Sample Performance QC results: The earlier partial reports did not include the QC results for accuracy and precision assessment e.g., duplicate, MS, LCS. A final report was issued Friday, 2/17/12, that includes the sample performance QC results for all of the analytical batches processed for this project.

Reporting Limit (RL): The standard RL for this method is 0.10 mg/L, as stated in SOP C-61; however, it was lowered due to the project requirements. An RL of 0.010 mg/l was established prior to the start of the project. The RL was verified for each analytical batch by including a 0.01 mg/L standard as part of each calibration curve and verifying that each curve was acceptable based on the criteria stated in SOP C-61.

Holding Time (HT): The HT was met for all samples associated with this project. For the specific report issued on 1/30/12, the samples were received on Saturday, 1/28/12 and prepared and analyzed on that date, within the HT; however, the samples were officially logged in to our LIMS on Monday, 1/26/12, and that is the date officially recorded in our report as the "date received." The actual analysis date is recorded in our supporting records for this project. We apologize for the confusion. This will occur in two subsequent partial reports where samples were actually received on a Saturday, i.e., sample shipments received on 2/4/12 and 2/11/12, but the official "date received" is recorded as the following Monday, i.e., 2/6/12 and 2/13/12.

Temperature Excursion: For the sample delivery on 2/1/27/12, a temperature of 9.3C was recorded, which is above the upper limit of 6C; however, ice was still present in the sample cooler. If a temperature exceeds the upper limit, and ice is still present, no action is taken.

Please let me know if you have any questions. -----Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US wrote: -----

DIM0067557 DIM0067557

To: John Bourbon/R2/USEPA/US@EPA From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US Date: 02/21/2012 12:43PM Subject: Fw: EXTERNAL: Re: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check Cynthia Caporale, Chief **OASQA Laboratory Branch** U.S. EPA Region III **Environmental Science Center** Fort Meade, MD (410) 305-2732 Fax: (410) 305-3095 ---- Forwarded by Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US on 02/21/2012 12:43 PM -----From: Ex. 4 - CBI To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA Cc: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Ex. 4 - CBI ....., Gary Newhart/Cl/USEPA/US@EPA. John Gilbert/CI/USEPA/US@EPA,

Burchette/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/15/2012 09:45 AM

Subject: RE: EXTERNAL: Re: Dimock Follow-Up Verification/Completeness Check

Good Morning Kelley and Cynthia,

I have attached the first review of the EPA R2 MBAS data. Since this is the first memo, please let me know if this format suits your needs. I will be sending you the R3 memo this morning and the R9 memo this afternoon. If you have any comments, please let me know ASAP. Thank you.

Ex. 4 - CBI

(See attached file: SERAS-001-DSR-021512\_1.docx) [attachment "SERAS-001-DSR-021512\_1.docx" removed by John Bourbon/R2/USEPA/US]

DIM0067557 DIM0067558